## Case 4:23-mj-70144-MAG SPAGES DESILE COMPAND COMPAND PAGE 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. 4:23-Mj - 70144-MAG-1
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ozymandias Thy Watson  Defendant(s).	
Trial Act from $00   24   23$ to $00$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding	ecord on 00 29 23 , the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. and bases this continuance on the following factor(s) 29 2023
Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would be likely to result in a miscarriage of in the North DISTRICT COULOAKLAND OF CALIFOR
defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreasonable	omplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial stablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, se of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given e commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. )(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective prepara See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. )(iv).
disposition of criminal cases, paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a prelimina extending the 30-day time per	dant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first e parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending try hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for riod for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the see Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Ann-
DATED: 00 29 23	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defende	ant Assistant United States Attorney